# Definability of linear equation systems over groups and rings

A. Dawar, E. Grädel, B. Holm, E. Kopczynski, W. Pakusa

University of Cambridge, University of Warsaw, RWTH Aachen University

AlMoTh, Ilmenau, 17 February 2012

Atserias, Bulatov, Dawar $Slv(G) \notin FP+C$ Dawar, Grohe, Holm, Laubner $FP+C \lneq FP+rk \leq PTIME$ 

Atserias, Bulatov, Dawar  $Slv(G) \notin FP+C$ Dawar, Grohe, Holm, Laubner  $FP+C \leqq FP+rk \le PTIME$ Matrix rank and linear equation systems Fields  $A \cdot x = b$  solvable iff rk(A) = rk(A|b):

If  $\mathbf{r} = \mathsf{rk}(\mathbf{A})$ , then  $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{c}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{a}_r \cdot \mathbf{c}_r + \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$ 

 $Slv(G) \notin FP+C$ Atserias, Bulatov, Dawar  $FP+C \leq FP+rk \leq PTIME$ Dawar, Grohe, Holm, Laubner Matrix rank and linear equation systems **Fields**  $A \cdot x = b$  solvable iff rk(A) = rk(A|b): If  $\mathbf{r} = \mathsf{rk}(A)$ , then  $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{c}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{a}_r \cdot \mathbf{c}_r + \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$ Rings Many notions (linear dependence, McCoy, inner rank, ...), unknown complexity, above characterisation fails **Groups** Undefined

 $Slv(G) \notin FP+C$ Atserias. Bulatov. Dawar  $FP+C \leq FP+rk \leq PTIME$ Dawar, Grohe, Holm, Laubner Matrix rank and linear equation systems **Fields**  $A \cdot x = b$  solvable iff rk(A) = rk(A|b): If  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{rk}(\mathbf{A})$ , then  $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{c}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{a}_r \cdot \mathbf{c}_r + \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$ Rings Many notions (linear dependence, McCoy, inner rank, ...), unknown complexity, above characterisation fails **Groups** Undefined

Question: Is  $Slv(G) \in FP+rk$ ?

Inter-definability: → natural domain for Slv

Inter-definability: → natural domain for Slv

Theorem k-ideal rings  $\stackrel{FP-red.}{\Longrightarrow}$  cyclic groups of prime power order.

Inter-definability: ~> natural domain for Slv

Theorem k-ideal rings  $\stackrel{FP-red.}{\Longrightarrow}$  cyclic groups of prime power order.

Intra-definability:  $\rightsquigarrow$  FO extended by Slv<sub>F</sub>

Inter-definability: ~> natural domain for Slv

Theorem k-ideal rings  $\stackrel{FP-red.}{\Longrightarrow}$  cyclic groups of prime power order.

Intra-definability:  $\rightsquigarrow$  FO extended by Slv<sub>F</sub>

Theorem Normal form for FO+slv<sub>F</sub>.

Slv(CG): Cyclic groups  $(\mathbb{Z}_{p^e})$ Slv $(\mathbf{I_k R})$ : k-gen. ideal rings  $(I \leq R \Rightarrow I = \pi_1 R + \dots + \pi_k R)$ 

Slv(CG): Cyclic groups  $(\mathbb{Z}_{p^e})$ Slv $(\mathbf{I_k R})$ : k-gen. ideal rings  $(I \leq R \Rightarrow I = \pi_1 R + \dots + \pi_k R)$ 

Slv(I<sub>k</sub>R)

Slv(CG): Cyclic groups  $(\mathbb{Z}_{p^e})$ Slv $(\mathbf{I_k R})$ : k-gen. ideal rings  $(I \leq R \Rightarrow I = \pi_1 R + \dots + \pi_k R)$ 



Slv(CG): Cyclic groups  $(\mathbb{Z}_{p^e})$ Slv $(\mathbf{I}_k \mathbf{R})$ : k-gen. ideal rings  $(I \leq \mathbf{R} \Rightarrow I = \pi_1 \mathbf{R} + \dots + \pi_k \mathbf{R})$ 



Slv(CG): Cyclic groups  $(\mathbb{Z}_{p^e})$ Slv $(\mathbf{I_k R})$ : k-gen. ideal rings  $(I \leq R \Rightarrow I = \pi_1 R + \dots + \pi_k R)$ 













Slv(CG): Cyclic groups 
$$(\mathbb{Z}_{p^e})$$
  
Slv(I<sub>k</sub>R): k-gen. ideal rings (I  $\leq$  R  $\Rightarrow$  I =  $\pi_1$ R + ... +  $\pi_k$ R)  
Slv(I<sub>k</sub>R)  $\xrightarrow{R = \bigoplus_{e \in \varphi} eR, eR \text{ local}} Slv(\text{local-I}_kR)$   
 $\downarrow$   $m = R \setminus R^* \leq R$   
 $\Gamma(R) = \{a : a^{|R/m|} = a\}$   
 $\Gamma(R) \tilde{\rightarrow} R/m, r \mapsto r + m$   
 $r \mapsto \sum_{i} a_{i_1 \cdots i_k} \pi_1^{i_1} \cdots \pi_k^{i_k}$   
Slv(CG)  $\longleftarrow$  Slv(R<)

$$Slv(CG): Cyclic groups (\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e}})$$

$$Slv(I_{k}R): k-gen. ideal rings (I \leq R \Rightarrow I = \pi_{1}R + \dots + \pi_{k}R)$$

$$Slv(I_{k}R) \xrightarrow{R = \bigoplus_{e \in \varphi} eR, eR \text{ local}} Slv(local-I_{k}R)$$

$$m = R \setminus R^{*} \leq R$$

$$\Gamma(R) = \{a : a^{|R/m|} = a\}$$

$$\Gamma(R) \xrightarrow{} R/m, r \mapsto r + m$$

$$r \mapsto \sum_{i_{1} \dots i_{k}} \pi_{1}^{i_{1}} \dots \pi_{k}^{i_{k}}$$

$$Slv(CG) \longleftarrow Slv(R_{<})$$

Theorem  $Slv(\mathbf{I}_k \mathbf{R}) \leq_{FP}^{T} Slv(\mathbf{CG})$ 





 $FO+slv: \ First-order \ logic \ closed \ under \ solvability \ quantifier \\ FO+slv_F: \ Solvability \ quantifier \ over \ a \ fixed \ finite \ field \ F$ 

# Theorem Every $FO+slv_F$ -formula equivalent to an $FO+slv_F$ -formula

 $slv(\bar{x},\bar{y}).[\phi_M(\bar{x},\bar{y}),1]$ , with  $\phi_M$  quantifier-free.

Theorem Every FO+slv<sub>F</sub>-formula equivalent to an FO+slv<sub>F</sub>-formula  $slv(\bar{x},\bar{y}).[\phi_M(\bar{x},\bar{y}),\mathbf{1}], \text{ with } \phi_M \text{ quantifier-free.}$ 

Proof illustration: (negation)

 $\neg \mathsf{slv}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).[\phi, \mathbf{1}]$ 

Non-solvability  $\equiv \neg \exists \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{M}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \stackrel{?}{\equiv} \exists \mathbf{y} : \mathbf{M'}\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{b'} \equiv \text{Solvability}$ 

Theorem Every FO+slv<sub>F</sub>-formula equivalent to an FO+slv<sub>F</sub>-formula  $slv(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).[\phi_M(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \mathbf{1}], \text{ with } \phi_M \text{ quantifier-free.}$ 

Proof illustration: (negation)

 $\neg \mathsf{slv}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).[\phi, \mathbf{1}]$ 

Non-solvability  $\equiv \neg \exists \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{M}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \stackrel{?}{\equiv} \exists \mathbf{y} : \mathbf{M'}\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{b'} \equiv \text{Solvability}$ 

Gaussian elimination implies:

$$\neg \exists \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{M}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \equiv \exists \mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{M}|\mathbf{b}) = (0, \dots, 0|1).$$

Theorem Every FO+slv<sub>F</sub>-formula equivalent to an FO+slv<sub>F</sub>-formula  $slv(\bar{x},\bar{y}).[\phi_M(\bar{x},\bar{y}),\mathbf{1}], \text{ with } \phi_M \text{ quantifier-free.}$ 

Proof illustration: (conjunction)

 $\mathsf{slv}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).[\phi, \mathbf{1}] \land \mathsf{slv}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).[\psi, \mathbf{1}]$ 

Theorem Every FO+slv<sub>F</sub>-formula equivalent to an FO+slv<sub>F</sub>-formula  $\operatorname{slv}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).[\varphi_{M}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \mathbf{1}]$ , with  $\varphi_{M}$  quantifier-free.

Proof illustration: (conjunction)

slv()  $\varphi \quad \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{y}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ φ 0  $\cdot \mathbf{v}_{yy}$  $\psi \quad \cdot \mathbf{v_y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$ ψ 0

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{y}}).[\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \mathbf{1}] \wedge \mathsf{slv}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{y}}).[\boldsymbol{\psi}, \mathbf{1}]$$

Theorem Every FO+slv<sub>F</sub>-formula equivalent to an FO+slv<sub>F</sub>-formula  $slv(\bar{x},\bar{y}).[\phi_M(\bar{x},\bar{y}),\mathbf{1}], \text{ with } \phi_M \text{ quantifier-free.}$ 

Proof illustration: (universal quantification)

 $\forall z (\operatorname{slv}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}). [\varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, z), \mathbf{1}])$ 

Theorem Every FO+slv<sub>F</sub>-formula equivalent to an FO+slv<sub>F</sub>-formula  $slv(\bar{x},\bar{y}).[\phi_M(\bar{x},\bar{y}),\mathbf{1}], \text{ with } \phi_M \text{ quantifier-free.}$ 

Proof illustration: (universal quantification)

$$\forall z (\operatorname{slv}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}). [\varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, z), \mathbf{1}])$$

Theorem Every  $FO+slv_F$ -formula equivalent to an  $FO+slv_F$ -formula

slv $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ . $[\phi_M(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \mathbf{1}]$ , with  $\phi_M$  quantifier-free.

Proof illustration: (nesting of solvability)

 $\mathsf{slv}(\bar{r},\bar{s}).\bigl[\mathsf{slv}(\bar{x},\bar{y}).\bigl[\phi(\bar{r},\bar{s},\bar{x},\bar{y}),\mathbf{1}\bigr],\mathbf{1}\bigr]$ 



Proof illustration: (nesting of solvability)

 $\mathsf{slv}(\bar{r},\bar{s}).\big[\mathsf{slv}(\bar{x},\bar{y}).\big[\phi(\bar{r},\bar{s},\bar{x},\bar{y}),\mathbf{1}\big],\mathbf{1}\big]$ 



Proof illustration: (nesting of solvability)

 $\operatorname{slv}(\bar{r}, \bar{s}).[\operatorname{slv}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).[\varphi(\bar{r}, \bar{s}, \bar{x}, \bar{y}), \mathbf{1}], \mathbf{1}]$ 



For  $\bar{\mathbf{r}}$ :  $\sum_{\bar{s}} \mathfrak{a}[\bar{\mathbf{r}},\bar{s}] \cdot v_{\bar{s}} = 1$ 

Proof illustration: (nesting of solvability)

 $\mathsf{slv}(\bar{r},\bar{s}).\big[\mathsf{slv}(\bar{x},\bar{y}).\big[\phi(\bar{r},\bar{s},\bar{x},\bar{y}),1\big],1\big]$ 



Proof illustration: (nesting of solvability)

 $\mathsf{slv}(\bar{r},\bar{s}).\big[\mathsf{slv}(\bar{x},\bar{y}).\big[\phi(\bar{r},\bar{s},\bar{x},\bar{y}),\mathbf{1}\big],\mathbf{1}\big]$ 



## **Intra-definability: solvability as a logical operator** Proof illustration: (nesting of solvability)

$$\mathsf{slv}(\bar{r},\bar{s}).\big[\mathsf{slv}(\bar{x},\bar{y}).\big[\phi(\bar{r},\bar{s},\bar{x},\bar{y}),\mathbf{1}\big],\mathbf{1}\big]$$

For 
$$\bar{r}$$
:  $\sum_{\bar{s}} \underline{a}[\bar{r}, \bar{s}] \cdot v_{\bar{s}} = 1$   
For  $\bar{r}$ :  $\sum_{\bar{s}} 1 \cdot v[\bar{r}, \bar{s}] = 1$ 

$$\begin{cases}
Consistency conditions: \\
v[\bar{r}, \bar{s}] = 1 \Rightarrow a[\bar{r}, \bar{s}] = 1 \\
v[\bar{r}, \bar{s}] \neq v[\bar{r}', \bar{s}] \Rightarrow a[\bar{r}, \bar{s}] \neq a[\bar{r}', \bar{s}]
\end{cases}$$

How to formalise: "If v = 1 then  $A \cdot x = 1$  solvable"

## **Intra-definability: solvability as a logical operator** Proof illustration: (nesting of solvability)

$$slv(\bar{r}, \bar{s}).[slv(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).[\phi(\bar{r}, \bar{s}, \bar{x}, \bar{y}), \mathbf{1}], \mathbf{1}]$$

How to formalise: "If v = 1 then  $A \cdot x = 1$  solvable"

$$A \qquad \begin{array}{c} -\nu + 1 \\ \vdots \\ -\nu + 1 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{array}$$

#### **Conclusion and outlook**

Theorem

Every  $FO+slv_F$ -formula is equivalent to an  $FO+slv_F$ -formula

 $\mathsf{slv}(\bar{x},\bar{y}).\big[\phi_M,1\big],\,\,\text{with}\,\,\phi_M$  quantifier-free.

Theorem k-ideal rings  $\stackrel{FP-red.}{\Longrightarrow}$  cyclic groups of prime power order.

#### **Conclusion and outlook**

Theorem Every FO+slv<sub>F</sub>-formula is equivalent to an FO+slv<sub>F</sub>-formula  $slv(\bar{x},\bar{y}).[\phi_M, \mathbf{1}]$ , with  $\phi_M$  quantifier-free. Theorem k-ideal rings  $\stackrel{\text{FP-red.}}{\Longrightarrow}$  cyclic groups of prime power order.

Outlook: Permutation group membership (GM)

Given: Permutations  $\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_k$  and  $\pi$  on a set A Question: Is  $\pi \in \langle \pi_1, \ldots, \pi_l \rangle \leq S_A$ ?

#### **Conclusion and outlook**

Theorem Every FO+slv<sub>F</sub>-formula is equivalent to an FO+slv<sub>F</sub>-formula  $slv(\bar{x},\bar{y}).[\phi_M, \mathbf{1}]$ , with  $\phi_M$  quantifier-free. Theorem k-ideal rings  $\stackrel{\text{FP-red.}}{\Longrightarrow}$  cyclic groups of prime power order.

#### Outlook: Permutation group membership (GM)

Given: Permutations  $\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_k$  and  $\pi$  on a set A Question: Is  $\pi \in \langle \pi_1, \ldots, \pi_l \rangle \leq S_A$ ?

$$Slv(\mathbf{D}) \xrightarrow{FO-reduction} GM$$
 (Cayley's theorem)