

Algorithmic Model Theory — Assignment 3

Due: Monday, 11 November, 12:00

Exercise 1

Construct a conservative reduction from $[\forall\exists\forall, (0, \omega), (0)]$ to $[\forall^2, (0, 1), (1)]$.

Hint: Consider the Skolem normalform of a $\forall\exists\forall$ -sentence to get rid of the \exists -quantifier. Encode multiple binary relations R_1, \dots, R_n and the unary skolem function g by a single binary relation Q and a unary function f via the substitution $R_i xy \mapsto Qx f^i y$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $gx \mapsto f^{n+1}x$.

Exercise 2

Construct infinity axioms in the following classes

- (i) $[\exists\forall^2, (0), (1)] =$
- (ii) $[\forall\exists\forall, (0, 1), (1)]$
- (iii) $[\forall\exists, (0), (1)] =$
- (iv) the two variable fragment FO^2 extended by the counting quantifiers $\exists^{\leq n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\exists^{\leq n}x\varphi$ expresses that there are no more than n elements x that satisfy φ .

Exercise 3

- (a) For each of the following FO-formulae provide either an equivalent ML-formula or prove that no equivalent ML-formula exists.

Hint: Use the bisimulation invariance of ML for the non-existence proofs.

- (i) $\varphi_1(x) := \forall y\exists z(Exy \vee Eyz)$;
 - (ii) $\varphi_2(x) := \forall y\exists z(\neg Exy \vee Eyz)$;
 - (iii) $\varphi_3(x) := \exists y\forall z(Eyx \wedge Eyz \wedge Pz)$.
- (b) Show that it is undecidable whether a given FO-formula $\varphi(x)$ with only unary and binary relation symbols is equivalent to a ML-formula.